

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/797,982	03/11/2004	Debasis Majumdar	81794BLMB	5000		
7590 01/18/2006		EXAMINER				
Paul A. Leipold			WALKE, A	WALKE, AMANDA C		
Patent Legal Sta	aff					
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
343 State Street		1752				
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2000	DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/797,982	MAJUMDAR ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Amanda C. Walke	1752			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Par	A-4 11-14					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Amanda C. Walke	1752					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
have under set for may in NOT	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AME	NDMENTS	·						
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•						
6	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the				
7. 🗀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected:							
ΔFFI	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	Tilly is below of allaci	ieu.				
	☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N						
_			Amanda C Walke Examiner					
				112 106				

1/1/10 Art Unit: 1752

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued again that the Greener et al reference fails to disclose the instantly claimed features including the surface roughness. Again, the examiner points to the fact that the material of the reference appears to be prepared in the same manner (including the stretch ratio) and comprises the same materials, thus it is the position of the examiner that the material of Greener et al would inherently possess the surface roughness absent evidence to the contrary..